

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS:

Reconsideration of the above identified application is respectfully requested.

In the Office action dated May 19, 2005, claim 1 is objected to for inconsistent and unclear terms in the claim limitations. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,125,507 to Katoh (hereinafter "Katoh") in view of US Patent No. 6,170,120 to Lu (hereinafter "Lu"). Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all claim limitations. The Examiner is thanked for pointing out the allowable matters.

In response to the objection, Applicants have amended claim 1 and the specification to make the objected terms consistent and clear. Support for the amendments can be found throughout the specification and Figure 2 as originally filed. Applicants have also amended the specification and claim 1 to correct typographical and grammatical errors. The amendments are made to clarify the invention and are not to be construed as narrowing the scope of the claims.

With respect to the claim rejections, Applicants have decided to incorporate into claim 1 the claim limitations of claim 2 which is allowable as pointed out by the Examiner, and consequently cancel claim 2 to expedite the prosecution of the instant application. No new matter has been introduced.

Applicant also acknowledge safe receipt of the "Notice of References Cited" (form PTO-892).

Applicants respectfully submit the amendments have overcome the objection and rejections for reasons set forth below:

Claim objection

Claim 1 is objected to for inconsistent and unclear terms in the claim limitations.

In response to the objection, Applicants have amended "the second opening of the positioning ring" in claim 1 and the specification to --the second opening of the rotating ring-- and "C-like" to --C-shaped-- to make the terms consistent and clear.

Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)


Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected as being unpatentable over Katoh in view of Lu, while claim 2 would be allowable if re-written in independent form, containing all the claim limitation of claim 1. In response to the rejections, Applicants have decided to amend claim 1 by incorporating the claim limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and consequently cancel claim 2 to expedite the prosecution of the instant application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claim rejections have been overcome.

In view of the foregoing, the objection and rejections have been overcome and the claims are in condition for allowance, early notice of which is requested. Should the application not be passed for issuance, the examiner is requested to contact the applicant's attorney to resolve the problem.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 19, 2005


Fel-Fei Chao, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 43,538)
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111-4067
Tel.: (202) 778-3179
Fax: (202)-778-6155